

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/772,059 | 02/03/2004 | Peter H. Maxson | 212/552 | 4531 | |
| 23371 CROCKETT & | 7590 03/29/2007 & CROCKETT | EXAMINER | | | |
| 24012 CALLE DE LA PLATA SUITE 400 LAGUNA HILLS, CA 92653 | | | RAO, ANAND SHASHIKANT | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | • | | 2621 | | |
| | | · | | | |
| • | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 03/29/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|------------------|--|
| 10/772,059 | MAXSON, PETER H. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Andy S. Rao | 2621 | |

| | LAGIIIIIGI | Aitoiiit | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| | Andy S. Rao | 2621 · | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>13 March 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | ALLOWANCE. | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (| idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date | of the final rejection | , | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires $\frac{1}{2}$ months from the maining date of this A | - | in the final rejection, wh | ichever is later. In | | |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejecti | on. | | |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date | • • | 136(a) and the appropria | te autonoian foe | | |
| have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of evenued and the period of expunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com | pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be | filed within two montl | ns of the date of | | |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | hout males to the state of Clines a build | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) | nsideration and/or search (see NO | | ecause | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | • | ducing or simplifying | the issues for | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | ected claims. | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | mnliant Amendment | (PTOL-324) | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | | mpliant Amendment | (I TOL-024). | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a | | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the | | |
| non-allowable claim(s). | nowable ii subinitted iii a separate, | unlery med amending | ant canceling the | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | II be entered and an e | explanation of | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | • | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by of the reasons as stated in the Final Office Action of 11. | ut does NOT place the application in 1/15/06. | n condition for allowa | nce because: | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | |
| 13. Other: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | A SATESTAGE | OAndy S. Rao | | | |
| | DEIMANNEYA | Reimary Examiner | | | |
| Art Unit: 2621 | | | | | |
| | | * 0 | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)